UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,461	11/24/2003	Joseph Alfred Webb	4080	
7590 03/15/2007 Douglas Chandler Hoyt 2250 Duncil Lane			EXAMINER	
			KEENAN, JAMES W	
Malabar, FL 32950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,461	WEBB ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Keenan	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/9/0 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro				
	ex parte quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

The oath refers to the title of the application as "Tilting loading ramp for pickup trucks and like vehicles". This does not match the title of the application as set forth in the specification which is "Universal pickup loading ramp".

- 2. The amendment filed 6/9/06 has not been entered. Because the original specification did not include numbered paragraphs, the instructions to replace specific paragraph numbers with new paragraphs can not be followed. The paragraph numbers used by applicant do not seem to correspond to the actual sequence of paragraphs in the original specification. Because the new and amended drawings are described in the amended specification, they have not been entered either.
- 3. The (original) drawings are objected to because figure 6 comprises five (5) separate figures which should be labeled and referred to separately in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an

amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. The listing of references in the (original) specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 5. The amendments filed 6/9/06 and 12/15/06 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because they introduce new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as

Application/Control Number: 10/720,461

Art Unit: 3652

follows: the particular type of damper now being claimed in claim 11. Note that although the 6/9/06 amendment has not actually been entered, the recitation in new paragraph [0004] of a gas spring damper and damper reaction arm, as well as the depiction thereof in new fig. 7, would be new matter is this amendment is resubmitted

Page 4

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation of a "damper reaction tube" and a "gas spring damper" is considered new matter, as no such teaching was present in the disclosure as originally filed.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,461 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

9. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, lines 2 and 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the ... brackets" and "the ... hardware"; furthermore, these elements are improperly positively recited in that they are part of the truck which is merely inferentially set forth in lines 12-14;

line 7, it is not clear what is meant by "ramp support ... assembly"; line 2 recites a "ramp support structure", while line 5 recites a "ramp assembly" (is the recitation in line 7 the combination of these two elements?);

in line 10, it is not clear what is meant by nor is there antecedent basis for "the rate of pivot of the ramp";

and in lines 12-14, this paragraph should apparently be the preamble; it is not clear why it has been placed at the end of the claim.

Claim 12 does not appear to further limit claim 11.

In claim 14, "may be easily removed" is vague and fails to present a meaningful patentable limitation.

Claim 15 does not further limit claim 11; furthermore, "or similar" is vague.

In claim 16, it is not clear what is meant by "extends the bed".

In claim 17, "may be ... adjusted" is vague and fails to present a meaningful patentable limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,461 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

13. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevrier (US 2001/0031193) in view of Lance (US 5,907,276) and Maloney (US 4,647,270), all previously of record.

Chevrier shows a two-section, telescopic, pivoting loading ramp for a pickup truck bed, wherein a support frame is connected to "truck pivot hardware" 6.

It is not clear if the truck pivot hardware comprises the tailgate mounting brackets of the pickup truck, although it is noted that the ramp does replace the tailgate.

Lance shows a similar pickup loading ramp which is pivotally connected to the tailgate mounting brackets (col. 3, lines 17-20).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Chevrier such that the support frame for the ramp pivotally connected to the tailgate mounting brackets, as shown by Lance, as this would simply be the substitution of a well known ramp mounting system in the same environment, which would neither require undue experimentation nor produce unexpected results.

Chevrier does not show an adaptor plate and arm connecting the ramp support frame to the tailgate mounting structure or a damper to modulate the rate of pivot of the ramp.

Maloney shows a truck having a pivotally mounted ramp with a damper 50 and an adaptor plate 52.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Chevrier with a damper and adaptor plate, as shown by

Maloney, as this (damper) would allow better control of the pivoting motion, and (adaptor plate) would simply be an art recognized alternate equivalent means of attaching the ramp to the truck bed.

Re claim 17, note that Chevrier discloses embodiments of the ramp in which it is adjustable in size to fit various vehicles.

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although applicant states that the invention differs from the cited references in several ways, the claims themselves must present a complete, operative device which defines over and is non-obvious in view of the prior art. Merely stating vague and abstract ideas, such that no tools are required to assemble the device, does not in any way explain how the claims structurally differ from the cited prior art.
- 15. This action is a **final rejection** and is intended to close the prosecution of this application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee of \$250 (small entity).

Page 9

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

16. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents ·

Application/Control Number: 10/720,461 Page 10

Art Unit: 3652

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Cimpature	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	٠.
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted Trademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)	to the United States Patent and
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on (schedule varies).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 3/13/07